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## Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study
$T=294 \mathrm{~K}$
Mean $\sigma(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C})=0.003 \AA$
$R$ factor $=0.043$
$w R$ factor $=0.121$
Data-to-parameter ratio $=15.2$

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.
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## 1-(4,5-Dihydro-3-p-tolyl-1 H-pyrazol-1-yl)-2-(1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)ethanone

In the title compound, $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}$, the benzene and triazole rings are almost perpendicular and the dihedral angle between the pyrazole and triazole rings is $79.63(3)^{\circ}$. There are some weak intermolecular $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen-bond interactions in the crystal structure, which provide stabilization.

## Comment

Triazole derivatives are known to have good and extensive insecticidal, fungicidal, herbicidal and acaricidal activity ( Xu et al., 2004; Blass et al., 2003). Until now, studies on triazole derivatives have mainly concentrated on compounds with triazole as the only active group, whereas reports on triazole compounds containing both triazole and other active groups in the same molecule are rare. It is also know that pyrazoles and their derivatives have biological properties, such as medicinal and pesticidal activity (Angermann \& Franke, 2001; Kordik et al., 2001). In a search for better herbicidal and fungicidal activity, the title compound, (I), which contains both triazole and pyrazole groups, was synthesized and the structure investigated.

(I)

A molecular view of (I) is shown in Fig. 1. The bond lengths and angles within the benzene and triazole rings are in agreement with values observed in related compounds (Ji et al., 2003; Liu et al., 2002). The $\mathrm{C} 3=\mathrm{N} 2$ bond length of 1.283 (2) $\AA$ is close to the typical $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ double-bond length (1.34 A; Allen et al., 1987). Atom C12 lies in the plane of the triazole ring, the mean deviation from the least-squares plane


Figure 1
View of the title compound (I) with the atom-labelling scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level. H atoms are shown as small spheres of arbitrary radii.
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being 0.009 (4) $\AA$. The pyrazole ring is roughly planar, with the largest deviation being 0.027 (1) $\AA$ for atom $C 1$. The dihedral angles formed by the benzene and the triazole rings with the pyrazole ring are 13.50 (12) and $79.63(6)^{\circ}$, respectively. Some weak $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ intermolecular hydrogen-bond interactions stabilize the crystal packing (Fig. 2 and Table 1).

## Experimental

A mixture of 2-(1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)acetohydrazide $(0.02 \mathrm{~mol})$, 1-phenyl-3-(1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)propan-1-one ( 0.02 mol ) and $p$-toluenesulfonic acid ( 0.002 mol ) was stirred in refluxing 2-propanol $(20 \mathrm{ml})$ for 16 h at 355.4 K to afford the title compound. Single crystals suitable for X-ray measurements were obtained by recrystallization from an acetone-ethanol (1:2 v/v) solution at room temperature.

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}$
$M_{r}=269.31$
Monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / c$
$a=5.2398(10) \AA$
$b=17.978(3) \AA$
$c=14.476(3) \AA$
$\beta=94.064(3)^{\circ}$
$V=1360.2(4) \AA^{3}$
$Z=4$

$$
D_{x}=1.315 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{~m}^{-3}
$$

Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
Cell parameters from 1785 reflections
$\theta=2.3-25.9^{\circ}$
$\mu=0.09 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=294$ (2) K
Block, white
$0.22 \times 0.16 \times 0.14 \mathrm{~mm}$

## Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD area-detector diffractometer
$\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
2772 independent reflections

$$
R_{\mathrm{int}}=0.032
$$

$$
\theta_{\max }=26.4^{\circ}
$$ (SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)

$$
h=-6 \rightarrow 3
$$

$T_{\text {min }}=0.970, T_{\text {max }}=0.988$

$$
k=-22 \rightarrow 22
$$

7599 measured reflections

$$
l=-17 \rightarrow 17
$$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$ 1619 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.043$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.121$
$S=1.01$
2772 reflections
182 parameters

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.0512 P)^{2}\right. \\
& +0.1972 P] \\
& \text { where } P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right) / 3 \\
& (\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }=0.001 \\
& \Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=0.15 \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{m}} \AA^{-3} \\
& \Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.14 \mathrm{e}^{-3} \\
& \Delta \rho_{\text {min }}=-0.14 \mathrm{e}^{-3}
\end{aligned}
$$

H -atom parameters constrained

Table 1
Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\left({ }^{\circ},{ }^{\circ}\right)$.

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H} 5 \cdots \mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 0.93 | 2.55 | $3.474(3)$ | 171 |
| $\mathrm{C} 13-\mathrm{H} 13 \cdots 1^{\mathrm{ii}}$ | 0.93 | 2.52 | $3.304(3)$ | 142 |

Symmetry codes: (i) $x,-y+\frac{3}{2}, z-\frac{1}{2}$; (ii) $x+1, y, z$.


Figure 2
View of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds, shown as dashed lines. [Symmetry codes: (i) $x, \frac{3}{2}-y, z-\frac{1}{2}$; (ii) $1+x, y, z$.]

All H atoms were placed in calculated positions, with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.93-$ $0.97 \AA$, and included in the final cycles of refinement using a riding model, with $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.2 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{C})$ for the aryl and methylene H atoms and $1.5 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{C})$ for the methyl H atoms.

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 1998); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 1999); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: ORTEPIII (Burnett \& Johnson, 1996) and ORTEP3 for Windows (Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97.
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